



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,960	03/31/2004	Robert Boman	9432-000270	8323
27572	7590	08/01/2008		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			EXAMINER	
			COLUCCI, MICHAEL C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2626			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/01/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/814,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOMAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicants arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### **Argument 1 (page 9 paragraph \*\*\* - page \*\*\*\* paragraph \*\*\*):**

- "Applicant respectfully submits that the Van Thong reference does not disclose, discuss or suggest an "editing module" as provided for by independent claim 1. For example, claim 1 states that the editing module responds to "user associations" "

#### **Response to argument 1 :**

Examiner takes the position that editing is in fact taught by Van Thong, wherein Van Thong teaches a semi-automatic method for producing closed captions or more generally time-aligned transcriptions from an audio track. In a preferred embodiment as illustrated in FIG. 1, the invention system 11/method is a five-step process and requires an operator to transcribe the audio being played. The system 11 helps the operator to work efficiently and automates some of the tasks, like segmentation of the captions and their alignment along time. A brief instruction of each step (also referred to herein as a software "module") as illustrated in FIG. 1 is presented next, followed by a detailed description of each in the preferred embodiment (Col. 3 lines 25-40 & fig. 1 item 53).

Further, time alignment is disclosed, wherein Van Thong teaches the speech rate calculation unit 41 uses a speech recognition system to compute the rate of speech (ROS). The speech recognition system analyzes the incoming audio and produces the most likely sequence of linguistic units that matches what has been said. Linguistic units could be sentences, words or phonemes. Phonemes represent the smallest possible linguistic units. The speech recognition system outputs sequences of these linguistic units together with their time alignments, i.e., when they occur along the stream of speech (Col. 10 line 65 – Col. 11 line 8).

Furthermore, editing is clearly demonstrated, wherein Van Thong teaches user interaction to a module 23 that automatically links operator text (transcription) input 25 with the time-stamped audio stream 21 output from speech rate control 19. This linking results in a rough alignment 27 between the transcript text and the original audio 13 or video recording. Preferably the module 23 tracks what the transcriber operator 53 has typed/input 25 and how fast the transcriber 53 is typing. The module 23 automatically detects predefined trigger events (i.e., first letter after a space), time stamps these events and records time-stamped indices to the trigger events in a master file in chronological order. Operator text input 25 is thus linked to the speech rate control module 19 time-stamped audio output stream 21 by the nearest-in-time trigger event recorded for the audio stream 21 data (Col. 5 lines 13-28).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom).

Re claim 1, Van Thong teaches a media production system, comprising:

a textual alignment module aligning a plurality of speech recordings [[to]] with a plurality of to textual lines of a script based on speech recognition results (Col. 3 lines 25-31 & Fig. 1 items 13, 15, and 17), wherein each of the plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script;

a navigation module responding to user navigation selections of at least one of the plurality of textual lines of the script by communicating to a user corresponding (Col. 8 lines 18-31), line-specific portions of the plurality of speech recordings (Col. 7 lines 46-60);

an editing module responding to user associations (Col. 8 lines 18-31) of the plurality of speech recordings with at least one of the plurality of textual lines of the script

by accumulating line-specific portions of the plurality of speech recordings (Col. 7 lines 46-60) in a combination recording based on at least one of relationships of the plurality of textual lines of the script to the combination recording (Col. 3 lines 25-31 & Fig. 1 items 13, 15, and 17), and temporal alignments between the plurality of speech recordings and the combination recording (Col. 1 lines 20-46).

However, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when

required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Van Thong to incorporate line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings that are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines as taught by Bloom to eliminate complexity associated with user manipulation of synchronized media, wherein various recordings and sentences can be edited and synchronized with the aid of partially automated time alignment modules ([0032]).

Re claim 21, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 1, wherein said navigation module is adapted to play a user-specified portion (Col. 8 lines 18-31) of at least one of the plurality of speech recordings in response to a sample request (Col. 18 lines 31-53).

Re claim 22, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 1, wherein said navigation module is adapted to play at least one of a user-specified section of the combination recording and a preview (Col. 1 lines 20-30) of the user-specified section based on a sequence of line-specific portions of the plurality of speech recordings (Col. 5 line 34-62).

However, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Van Thong to incorporate line-specific

portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings that are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines as taught by Bloom to eliminate complexity associated with user manipulation of synchronized media, wherein various recordings and sentences can be edited and synchronized with the aid of partially automated time alignment modules ([0032]).

Re claim 23, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 1, wherein said navigation module is adapted to record final selection of at least one of the plurality of speech recordings and a line-specific portion thereof with respect to the plurality of textual lines. (Col. 10 lines 19-47).

However, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being

successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Van Thong to incorporate line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings that are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines as taught by Bloom to eliminate complexity associated with user manipulation of synchronized media, wherein various recordings and sentences can be edited and synchronized with the aid of partially automated time alignment modules ([0032]).

**4. Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 17, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom) and further in view of Perez-Mendez et al US 5754978 A (hereinafter Perez).**

Re claims 2, 9, and 20, Van Thong fails to teach the system of claim 1, further comprising a ranking module adapted to tag at least one of the plurality of speech

recordings and line specific portions thereof with ranking data. (Perez Col. 10 line 61 - Col. 11 line 11).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, a navigation module is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as the system in (Van Thong Fig. 1), where a user and system respond in accordance with commands and/or text.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a ranking module adapted to tag at least one of the plurality of speech recordings and line specific portions thereof with ranking data. (Perez Col. 10 line 61 - Col. 11 line 11).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module that tags speech recordings with ranking data. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner.

Re claim 3, Van Thong fails to teach the system of claim 2, wherein said ranking module is adapted to recognize tags (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14) associated with the plurality of speech recordings and tag at least one of the plurality of speech recordings and specific portions thereof accordingly.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before

the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a ranking module adapted to tag at least one of the plurality of speech recordings and line specific portions thereof with ranking data. (Perez Col. 10 line 61 - Col. 11 line 11).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first

as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module that tags speech recordings with ranking data. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner.

Re claim 10, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module further is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and line-specific portions thereof based on order in which the speech recordings were produced (Col. 5 lines 12-31).

Re claim 17, Van Thong teaches speech recording production personnel during a speech recording process (Col. 8 lines 18-31).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof based on ranking tags supplied thereto (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a

ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigation module that ranks speech recordings based on ranked tags supplied to personnel. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking by a user, or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner for a user.

**5. Claims 4-8, 11-16, and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom) and further in view of Perez-Mendez et al US 5754978 A (hereinafter Perez) and further in view of Bakis US 6556972 B1 (hereinafter Bakis).**

Re claim 4, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 3, wherein said ranking module is adapted to recognize voice tags (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a

Art Unit: 2626

ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Perez fails to teach voice tags based on key phrases (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, a key phrase is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as a phrase containing unique information relating to pitch, duration, speech rate, or mood/emotion.

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be

Art Unit: 2626

recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module adapted to recognize voice tags based on key phrases. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing key phrases would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set.

Re claim 5, Van Thong fails to teach the system of claim 2, wherein said ranking module is adapted to recognize key phrases within the plurality of speech recordings and tag at least one of the plurality of speech recordings and line-specific portions thereof accordingly.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of

each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach ranking module is adapted to recognize key phrases within the plurality of speech recordings (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14)

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not

match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach a module is adapted to recognize key phrases (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tagged item is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further

variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module adapted to recognize key phrases. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing key phrases would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set.

Re claims 6 and 12, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-

Art Unit: 2626

interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a ranking module (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14)

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 2, wherein said ranking module is adapted to evaluate pitch of speech within the speech recordings and tag at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof accordingly (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module adapted to evaluate pitch of speech and tag an according speech portion. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claims 7 and 13, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being

successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a ranking module (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14). Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data,

a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 2, wherein said ranking module is adapted to evaluate speed of speech within the speech recordings and tag at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof accordingly (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module adapted to evaluate speed of speech and tag an according speech portion. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner.

Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 8, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when

required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong In view of Bloom fails to teach a ranking module (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 2, wherein said ranking module is adapted to evaluate emotive character of speech within the speech recordings and tag at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof accordingly (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a ranking module adapted to evaluate emotive character of speech and tag an according speech portion. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be

applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 11, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of

the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a navigation module adapted to rank (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, a navigation module is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as the system in (Van Thong Fig. 1), where a user and system respond in accordance with commands and/or text.

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof based on quality of pronunciation of speech therein. (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigation module that ranks speech based on quality of pronunciation or prosody. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as accent, dialect, pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of

less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 14, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of

the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a navigation module adapted to rank (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, a navigation module is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as the system in (Van Thong Fig. 1), where a user and system respond in accordance with commands and/or text.

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

Additionally Perez teaches probability determined during the decoding can be used as a score for the match between the input speech and the chosen sentence text.

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, recognizing a tag is construed to be both functionally equivalent and equally effective as ranking a set of data that is tagged with a probability, where the probability is tagged with a score. In order to rank a set of data, a probability is assigned, where recognition of tags would be necessary in order to achieve a proper ranking scheme.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof based on duration thereof (Bakis Col. 2 lines 16-22).

Bakis teaches an event-measuring mechanism measures the duration of various key events in the source phrase. For example, the speech can be normalized in duration using event duration information and presented to the user. Event duration could be, for example, the overall duration of the input phrase, the duration of the phrase with interword silences omitted, or some other relevant durational features.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigation module that ranks speech. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as accent, dialect, pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 15, Van Thong teaches said navigation module (Fig. 1) and line-specific portion of another speech recording already assigned to a textual line sequentially (Col. 7 lines 46-60).

However Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of

the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank a line-specific portion of a speech (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach a recording based on consistency thereof with at least, one adjacent, line-specific portion of another speech recording already assigned to a textual line sequentially adjacent in the script to a textual line aligned to the line-specific portion of the speech recording (Bakis Col. 2 lines 16-22).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, an adjacent ranked portion of speech is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as adjacent prosody, such a pitch and rate of speech.

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention ranking an adjacent line specific portion of speech assigned to a textual line sequentially adjacent in a script. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as accent, dialect, pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 16, Van Thong teaches at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof based on ability of thereof to contribute to solutions rendering a combination recording of a target duration and including a partial accumulation of line-specific portions of the multiple speech recordings (Col. 3 lines 25-31 & Fig. 1 items 13, 15, and 17).

However, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when

Art Unit: 2626

required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

NOTE: For purposes of prior art, a navigation module is construed to both functionally equivalent and equally effective as the system in (Van Thong Fig. 1), where a user and system respond in accordance with commands and/or text.

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach a target duration (Bakis Col. 2 lines 16-22)

Bakis teaches an event-measuring mechanism measures the duration of various key events in the source phrase. For example, the speech can be normalized in duration using event duration information and presented to the user. Event duration

could be, for example, the overall duration of the input phrase, the duration of the phrase with interword silences omitted, or some other relevant durational features.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigation module that ranks speech. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as accent, dialect, pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

Re claim 18, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van thong in view of Bloom fails to a navigation module that is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof (Perez Col. 2 lines 1-14).

Perez teaches that the "n" top choices refers to a variable number of possibilities, which can be supplied by a speech recognition engine tagged with a probability or in a ranked order of descending probability of being correct. For example, the comparison might require that the top two choices from each engine match before accepting the first as the accepted and recognized text. Alternatively, if the top choice of each do not match, it might be acceptable if one of the engines has a second choice that matches the other engine's top choice.

However, Van Thong in view of Perez fails to teach portions based on emotive character exhibited thereby and a target emotive state recorded with respect to a textual line aligned thereto (Bakis Col. 4 lines 41-64).

Bakis teaches multiple output sentences for a given word or phrase, where each output sentence for a given word or phrase reflects a different emotional emphasis and could be selected automatically, or manually as desired, to create a specific emotional effect. For example, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded three times, to selectively reflect excitement, sadness or fear. In further variations, the same output sentence for a given word or phrase can be recorded to reflect different accents, dialects, pitch, loudness or rates of speech. Changes in the volume or pitch of speech can be utilized, for example, to indicate a change in the importance of the content of the speech. The variable rate of speech outputs can be used to select a translation that has a best fit with the spoken phrase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigation module that ranks speech based on emotive character and a target emotive state. Tagging ranked data would allow for recognition of the best choices of speech recordings and allows for book marking or the use of keywords or key terms as a form of indicating the most acceptable data in a summarized manner. Additionally, recognizing various prosodic features such as pitch, intonation, emotion, stress, speech rate, duration, etc. would allow for the omission of less important words during the ranking of a speech data set, where a tag can be applied more precisely prior to ranking (i.e. finding a phrase with specific pitch level, duration, and emotion).

6. **Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom) and further in view of Perez-Mendez et al US 5754978 A (hereinafter Perez) and further in view of Goldberg US 6223158 B1 (hereinafter Goldberg).**

Re claim 19, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom and Perez fails to teach the system of claim 9, wherein said navigation module is adapted to rank at least one of speech recordings and specific portions thereof in accordance with user-specified weights respective of multiple ranking criteria (Goldberg Col. 17 lines 45-64).

Goldberg teaches that after forming this candidate set of identifiers, CPU 40 may rank the members of this set from highest to lowest in terms of their respective associative weightings and then prompt the user with each of these ranked identifiers until either the user positively confirms one of these candidate identifiers as matching the input identifier or the user has been prompted with all the candidate identifiers, in which case CPU 40 would issue through voice prompt device 25 an error message.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention ranking speech recordings with user-specified weights respective of multiple ranking criteria. Ranking and weighting speech recordings relevant to a user would allow for a more customized arrangement of data than if a system ranked and chose matches independently. By utilizing user specified weights in addition to a

ranking system, a less ambiguous acquisition of speech will be present, where a user has a say during a ranking process.

**7. Claim 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom) and further in view of Mercs et al US 5999906 A (hereinafter Mercs).**

Re claim 24, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the combination recording includes at least one Voice track of a multiple track (Col. 2 lines 32-46) audio visual recording (Col. 5 lines 13-17), the speech recordings are produced, and each speech recording is automatically temporally aligned to the combination recording (Col. 5 lines 45-62).

However, Van Thong fails to teach a plurality of speech recordings is aligned with the script such that line-specific portions of each of the plurality of speech recordings are aligned with one of the plurality of textual lines of the script.

Bloom teaches the creation of an aligned audio track, wherein the user must control the start of playback of the editing program to ensure its playback starts before the new audio recording and stops at the end. If there are several alternative recordings, typically kept on different tracks in the editing program, the selected one of each of these must be manually moved to a further track or tracks to enable non-interrupted playback of the selected edited and synchronized audio recordings (Bloom [0012]).

Additionally, Bloom teaches a user's revoicing system for the user to play back selected sequences of multiple revoiced lines with each processed user recording being successively played in accurate sync with the video, with the system muting the original voice when a user's recording is selected for playback and, otherwise, playing the performer's original voice (Bloom [0034]).

Bloom also teaches sequences with multiple lines can be recorded, and the present invention allows the edited replacement recording lines to overlap when required and still be played back at the correct time in the correct sequence. If some of the lines are not recorded, the system reverts to playing the original ones of those audio lines at the correct time (Bloom [0052]).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach a dubbing process (Mercks Col. 2 lines 24-39).

Mercks teaches Output audio may be to film, tape, speakers and the like. In typical film dubbing applications, an operator will handle multiple channels of audio, simultaneously, by repetitively and selectively punching-in and punching-out on a studio control panel, for both recording and playback, to obtain the desired mix of audio for recording on the sound track of film or video.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention an audio track of audio visual recording produced in a dubbing process where speech is aligned. Aligning speech with audio in a dubbing process is necessary to perform dubbing, where dubbing involves recording, replacing, and

Art Unit: 2626

**8. Claim 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bakis US 6556972 B1 (hereinafter Bakis).**

Re claim 25, Van Thong teaches the system of claim 1, wherein the textual lines are sequentially related and the combination recording includes at least one audio track (Col. 2 lines 32-46).

However, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach having a durational constraint. (Bakis Col. 7 lines 50-62).

Bakis teaches that the duration of the input phrases or the output phrases, or both, can be adjusted in accordance with the present invention. It is noted that it is generally more desirable to stretch the duration of a phrase than to shorten the duration. Thus, the present invention provides a mechanism for selectively adjusting either the source language phrase or the target language phrase. Bakis also teaches that block 850 determines whether the source language phrase or the target language phrase has the shorter duration, and then increases the duration of the phrase with the shorter duration.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention combination recording with an audio track having a durational constant. Constraining the duration of a phrase or speech recording would allow for

proper compression or expansion during the alignment of audio, and/or text, and/or video, to allow for precise time alignment.

**9. Claims 26-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Thong US 6490553 B2 (hereinafter Van Thong) in view of Bloom et al. US 20050042591 A1 (hereinafter Bloom) and further in view of Sukkar US 6292778 B1 (hereinafter Sukkar).**

Re claim 26, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 1, wherein the combination recording includes a navigable set of voice prompts (Sukkar Col. 7 line 37 – Col. 8 line 10).

Sukkar teaches an adjunct processor that prompts the user to identify by voice the type of service requested. The speech recognizer 100 receives the speech information, and recognizes the service request. In response thereto, the adjunct processor may further prompt the user to recite some other information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention a navigable set of voice prompts. Using voice prompts would allow for a system to be updated and trained with new audio material, such as personal user information if desired.

Re claim 27, Van Thong in view of Bloom fails to teach the system of claim 1, wherein the combination recording includes a set of training data (Sukkar Col. 2 lines

42-63) for at least one of a speech synthesizer and a speech recognizer (Sukkar Col. 7 lines 25 – 36).

Sukkar teaches an adjunct processor that may have additional equipment (not shown), including peripheral equipment, for performing tasks in addition to speech recognition (e.g., for speech synthesis or announcements), for interfacing to other network equipment, and for providing "housekeeping," operating system, and other functions of a general-purpose computer. Additionally, Sukkar teaches an ASR system can reliably be applied to many different tasks without the need for retraining. If the ASR system is to be used to recognize speech in a language for which it was not originally trained, it may be necessary to update the language model, but because the number of unique subwords is limited, the amount of training data required is substantially reduced.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention training data for a speech recognizer and synthesizer. Using a speech recognizer and synthesizer relevant to training data would allow for a less ambiguous system that can consistently learn and omit less important words when speech is acquired, and a more robust synthesis of speech during output, where the training data will be updated constantly relevant to the input, where new language portions can be implemented that can reduce the need for retraining.

***Conclusion***

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Colucci whose telephone number is (571)-270-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571)-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C Colucci/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2626  
Patent Examiner  
AU 2626  
(571)-270-1847  
[Michael.Colucci@uspto.gov](mailto:Michael.Colucci@uspto.gov)

/Richemond Dorvil/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626